1 2 3 4	PAUL S. HUDSON (admitted pro hoc vice) LAW OFFICES OF PAUL S. HUDSON P.C. 4411 Bee Ridge Road #274. Sarasota, Florida 34233 Telephone: 410-940-8934 Facsimile: 240-391-1923
5	DAVID G. RAMOS (Bar No. 116456) LAW OFFICES OF DAVID G. RAMOS
6	barram@i-cafe.net 3266 Villa Lane
7	Napa, California 94558 Telephone: 707-255-1700
8	Facsimile: 707-255-3660
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff KATHLEEN HANNI. Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated
10	
11	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION
14	
15	KATHLEEN HANNI, individually and on) No. C08-00732 CW behalf of all others similarly situated,
16	Plaintiff, OPPOSITION TO EX PARTE APPLICATION
17	v.) TO SHORTEN TIME
18	AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.; and DOES 1
19	through 20, inclusive,)
20	Defendants.)
21	
22	Defendant American Airlines, Inc. seeks an order shortening time to have it
23	Motion for Leave to File and Amended Notice of Removal heard. Plaintiff Kathleen Hann
24	opposes the requests and urges the court, if it is inclined to act on the application, to alter the
25	current briefing schedule in a manner which gives all pending motions time to be adequately
26	briefed.
27	Defendant contends the shortened time is required to "enable American to fully
28	brief this Court on the relevant facts that confer it jurisdiction over this case." Defendant's Ex

Parte Application, page 2, lines 6-8. Plaintiff agrees that the parties interests in fully briefing the issues before this court presents a compelling basis for the court to intervene to adjust briefing schedules to accommodate the parties advocacy. Plaintiff does not agree that the such intervention should be employed solely for the benefit of one party and to the detriment of the other party. Plaintiff disputes the basis and the propriety of the motion for leave to amend the notice of removal and intends to file an opposition brief setting forth her position. Shortening the briefing schedule would effectively deprive plaintiff of her opportunity to fully brief the issue.

There is no urgency which would justify the request to shorten time. The motion was originally filed on standard notice. The issue raised by the motion for leave to amend, to the extent it has any relevance, could effectively be raised in the opposition to the motion for remand. Further, Paul Hudson, counsel for plaintiff who will be preparing the opposition to the motion for leave to amend the notice of removal, will be out of the country from March 27 through April 7, 2008. Thus, shortening the briefing schedule in the manner suggested by defendant only creates a burden on plaintiff. On the other hand, retaining the existing briefing schedule on the motion for leave and moving the hearing on the motion to remand and motion to dismiss to May 29, 2008, would accomplish defendants goals and not deprive plaintiff an opportunity to brief the issues.

Plaintiff proposes that if the court is inclined to adjust the briefing schedule, that the motions to remand and dismiss, currently set for April 24, 2008, be rescheduled to May 29, 2008.

Dated: March 24, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID G. RAMOS

LAW OFFICES OF PAUL S. HUDSON PC

Attorneys for Plaintiff KATHLEEN HANNI Individually and on behalf of all others Similarly situated